Onomastic, Prosopographic and Lexical Notes

Ran Zadok - Tel-Aviv

I discuss below Canaanite-Hebrew morphology of names (No. 1), the prosopography of Israelite-Judean exiles (No. 2), an ethnonym (No. 3), an appellative (No. 4) and a Palestinian toponym (an ancient survival, No. 5).

- 1. Points of structural analysis of Canaanite-Hebrew names
- 1.1 Compound names

Yô°ēd is gātil of W-°-D (cf. ad OIA 28, 106; for an alternative interpretation ibid. 24). 'Ahban may be the same name as NA < WSem. HI-AD-nu (ZADOK, BiOr 48, 1991, 32; with an aphaeresis; cf. for the second component -a-bi-nu of NA GN Nu-ur-a-bi-nu, AOAT 6, 270?). LXX Γοδολιας = MT Gědalyāh is probably secondary in view of the much older transcription LB Ga-da-al/lu-iaa-ma which - like the hypothetical forerunner of MT - reflects gatal (see KUTSCHER, Isa, p. 118; pace MURIONEN, 110, 234: 393). Due to the absence of cuneiform documentation, the original formation of Sepanyah/Σοφονιας (cf. MURIONEN, 187) cannot be verified. Malkiyyāh and Malki'ēl begin with milkin view of LXX (MURIONEN, 282f.: 979ff.), in which case they are nominal sentences, but the N/LB transcriptions reflect only a verbal sentence with a perfect verb (cp. OB < Am. Ma-la-ku-il, OLA 28, 71f., n. 8). MT Malkiyyāh to N/LB Ma-la-ki-ia-a-ma is like MT Hizqiyyāh to NA Ha-za-qi-a-u (etc.). The latter does not start with a qutl formation (pace MURTONEN, 149:586f.). On the face of it, NA Pal-lit-ia-u (same person as Pal(a)-ti/ti-ia/iá-u) resembles LXX Φελλετια (MURTONEN, 184), but -LIT- is a CUC sign which is in-

Abbreviations as in S. SCHWERTNER, Internationales Abkürzungsverzeichnis für Theologie und Grenzgebiete, Berlin-New York 1974 and W. von SODEN, Akkadisches Handwörterbuch, Wiesbaden 1959-1981, except fot hhe following: Bez. = W. HELCK, Die Beziehungen Ägyptens zu Vorderasien in 3. und 2. Jahrtausend v. Chr., Wiesbaden 1971; JBCA = R. ZADOK, The Jews in Babylonia during the Chaldean and Achaemenian Periods, Haifa 1979; (A.) MURTONEN = Hebrew in its West Semitic setting, part one: Comparative lexicon; section A: proper names, Leiden 1986.

different to vowel quality. The interchange a/e in Αμασια/Αμεσσια is origial according to MURTONEN, 88, 216: 176. Ha-ta-a-ma may ultimately go back to "Atāyāh (cp. "tyhw, N. AVIGAD, ErIs 20, 1989, 92f.:7; the hypothetical H-T-Y is thereby eliminated). "Asi'el and Hazi'el may alternatively be sentence names with a perfect verb with $-\langle y \rangle = -/i/-$ due to the following theophorous element (< -*',il). Pil'iyyāh may be a genitive compound name ("Yhw's wonder, marvel") in view of Ps. 89, 6. For B-R-K cf. MURTONEN, 107: 365, but neither the cuneiform nor the Greek transcriptions reduplicate the second radical. No less than 7 names consist of yqtl + DN (ja-r-p-i-l-u, $ja-k-m-s-a-(-m-)mu, ja-s-a-r_2-k-n-a, ja-n-s-m-h-d-d-u, ja-n-k-a-i-lu,$ ja-tin-h-d-d-u and $j\acute{a}-m-n-\sigma-u-m\acute{u}$) and possibly one example of DN + ygtl(°m-mú-já-k-n) in the Egyptian documentation concerning Canaan in the second millennium B.C. (Bez., 46f., 50, 52f., 54, 57, 59f.), but only one assured case of a name which is qt1 + DN ('á-s-p-h-d-d-u) and one such case of DN + qtl ('ab-i-r-f-a). Many pre-Hellenistic Palestinian toponyms consist of yqtl + DN, but no such toponym contains a perfect verb (cf. W. BORÉE, Die alten Ortsnamen Palästinas, Hildesheim 1968, 100f. [and poss. Taganak, Tabor]). Yizlî'āh may originate from *Y'zlyh/'(to '-Z-L). Yacazî'ēl is with a hiriq compaginis due to the -'1 (/*'il/); there is no need to derive it from o-Z-Y. Ywśwyh is possibly originally a dittography (<*Ywšyyh) with w/y graphic interchange of Ywšyh. Ywzn < *Yaw-'azan is equally possible in view of NA la-ú-ha-zi < Yhw'hz. Rě'ûbēn (a pattern of broken pl. acc. to MURIONEN, 191: 1465) is a compound only according to the Biblical homiletic explanation (cf. OIA 28, 110). Likewise, has-Sělelpônî is not a compound, but probably *hSlpwny with dittography in which case it would be a nisbe of the Hebrew-Canaanite equivalent of Ug. Slpn (see OLA 28, 96, 381, bottom), the more so since LXX has once Ασελαφῶνι. Rěmalyāhû is more likely with three elements. The unexplained hapax Pětů'ēl is only tentatively classified as a nominal sentence name, subject (ending in $-\bar{u}$) + predicate; its initial component has no exact parallels. Kil'āb is possibly secondary (< Klb?). Yhwhl may denote "Yhw is (my) maternal uncle" (cp. with an inverted order Hlyw, Huul-ia-a-ma, OLA 28,51). F. B. KNUTSON (Anor⁵¹ [RS parallels 3], 488:26) compares the final component of 'Abîtal with the Ugaritic DN Tly/Tá-la-ia also NA Ahi-tal-li (WSB, 55,98) refers to a female. M°s derives from W/Y-°-S $(\langle W/Y-^{\circ}-Z, \text{ see M. MULZER, BN 49, 1989, 17ff.})$ of which $^{\circ}-W/Y-S$ is a byform. The evidence for 'dn 'm is doubtful (see A. J. POULTER and G. I. DAVIES

VT 40, 1990, 237f.). Re 'l'wzy, one would expect - m'wzy for 'my refuge'; cp. Jer. 16,19 where m'zy is associated with 'zy 'my strenght'? Ytny'l (LXX Ieθνουηλ) is alternatively imperf. of N-T-N with a hiriq compaginis (plene) before -'l (/*'il/). For Hwdyh cp. Hdyhw (AVIGAD, ErIs 20, 94:11). 'Abiyyām is possibly the same name as 'ab-i-ja-m-m-u (Eg. rendering, Bez., 46). For 'mnyh cp. 'mnyhw (AVIGAD, ErIs 20, 90:1). For Tblyhw see L. KÖHLER W. BAUM-GARINER, Lex., s.v. 'Îtāmār is rendered Iαυαμαρος by Josephus. The theophorous element Tmr is apparently extant in OB ϱ i-iš-ti- d ta-ma-ru (ARMT, 25, 255 s.v. ϱ išti-DN). At least Bn 'nt (AVIGAD, ErIs 20, 95:16; DK) was very probably an Israelite. Bšlm (PE; < Bn Slm) was possibly a Samarian. Doubt is cast on the interpretation of Ba'ānā(h) in view of Nab. B'nw (cf. T. NÖL-DEKE ap. J. CANTINEAU, Le nabatéen 2, Paris 1932, 73b). Is Bin'ā the same name as bi-n-'á (Eg. transcr., Bez., 354, ii, 5)? Ba'āšēyāh may go back to Ma'āšēyāh (MURTONEN, 71:16; 72:19; 105f.:346). 'Āḥûmay may be the same name as 'h(y)m' (see MURTONEN, 79, 210:85).

1.2 Non-compound names

Names of animals and plants may be hypocoristic at least in the cases of High and Tur which are recorded as theophorous elements. 'Arah is regarded a qut1 formation by MURIONEN (93, 220:215). The Ez.-Neh. occurrences (not 1Ch. 7,39) should be regarded the earliest. MB Ur-hi-ia (see A. DRAFFKORN, Hurrians and Hurrian at Alalah, Diss., Philadelphia 1959, 61) and Eq. 'U-rhi-ja (Bez., 35, iii, 6) can be Hurrian. Qutl in Origines' Secunda is without an anaptyxis (cf. E. BRØNNO, Studien über hebräische Morphologie und Vokalismus..., Leipzig 1943, pass.; id., ZDMG 100, 1950, 564; G. JANSSENS, Studies in Hebrew historical linguistics based on Origen's Secunda, Leuven 1982,77ff., 136ff.). The observation of M. LAMBERT (REJ 33, 1896, 18ff.), viz. that gatl is especially used for concretes applies to the onomastic material as well (over 80%). But his conclusion (ibid.) that gitl and less strictly gutl are used especially for abstracts is not supported by the onomastic material where most names (gitl: c. 70%, gutl: at least 80%) denote concretes. For Salmôn and 'Armōnî cp. Ur III (< Am.) Sa-al-ma-nu-um and Ar-ma-nu-um (D. OWEN, JCS 33, 1981, 256f) resp. Is Bilgay/Bilgah related to Sarg. and Ur III Bala-ga, Ba-la-an-gi (GELB, MAD 3, 95 with refs)? Does Tiroātim derive from Aram. T-R-o 'breach, break' (cf. M. SOKOLOFF, in G. B.

SARFATTI et al. [eds], Studies in Hebrew and Semitic languages. Ramat-Gan 1980 [Heb.], 171f.: 15)? Miryām derives from M-R-, according to MURTONEN (157:1023, 'fatling'). For "Akran cp. with -Um "-k-r-m (Eq. transcr., Bez. 46). The initial vowel of some 'hl-names is rendered as a- in several traditions (MURTONEN, 208:59-61). Many yatl forms are isolated predicates (cf. MURTONEN ad 128f .: 2136). Spl (AVIGAD, ErIs 20, 94:13) 'lowly' may be gatil. MURTONEN (184, 318:1348) regards Pase h a gattil formation (this applies at least to the appellative). $T\hat{o}l\bar{a}^{\sigma}$ is alternatively tagtal of $W/Y-L-\sigma$ (GESE-NIUS-KAUTZSCH, Grammar, 232:84r). For Děbôrāh (< qutul(1)?) see MURIONEN, 114, 239:454; Syr. debburā is with a secondary gemination (see C. BROCKEL-MANN, Syrische Grammatik, Leipzig 1965, 71: 136; cf. 41:69, n. 1). °Ādin may originally be a strengthening of a gatil form (intransitive in meaning, GESENIUS-KAUTZSCH, Grammar, 231:84 1). Cp. A-di-ni = MT *Eden and Hārē/ip. The same may apply to Yesisay (yasis synomonym of zagen) in view of sactr, Like the other adjectives listed in OLA 28, 108:211232, 1 (cp. also $Beri^{\sigma}\bar{a}^h$ and Dělîlāh; Śĕgûb is the only gatīl in view of LXX, MURTONEN, 194, 528:1527), they may denote duration of a state. J. BLAU (proc. Isr. Acad. sc. 6/2, 1982, 11) derives Běri ah from Arab. B-R-a. MURIONEN (107:363) favours NOTH's 'eminence' and states: "(the alternative one [interpretation] from a Middle Babylonian hapax legomenon little convincing)". The Lebanese GN Hamul is not an original $qat\bar{u}l$ as its $-\bar{u}l$ goes back to the suffix $-\bar{u}n$ (cf. J. T. MILIK, Dédicaces faites par des dieux ..., Paris 1972, 425). The first syllable of Yěhûdāh is not originally yu- (pace MURTONEN, 131, 259:708) in view of laa-hu-du. The same applies to Yě ûš and Zěbûlûn (despite MURIONEN, 135, 244, 264:524, 771). LB Si-il-li-mu (etc.: a Jew) can be harmonized with LXX Συλλημ, Σελλημ(ει). - λλειμ. This may prove that gittil was productive in Judahite Hebrew. Therefore, there is no need to regard quttul as the original form (pace MURIONEN, 196, 331f.: 1567, 1570). The Sallûm in this case is late (only Ch.) and due to adaptation to the much more common gattul pattern. The NA form Hal-li-şi is -like LXX- with gemination of the second radical. NA also has Hi-'li'-si (StPohl Ser. Mai. 14, 113, 22'; gittil) and Ha-le-e-si (ibid. 67, 2 <qatel? <qatil). Sippor 'bird; sparrow' is classified as guttul with an old secondary gemination by MURIONEN (187, 318:1407). Yet, the form $\sin ib-ba-ru$, which is recorded in MB Ugarit (where $\bar{a} > \bar{o}$ did not occur), is hesitantly listed by von SODEN (AHw, 1097a) s.v. sibaru 'sparrow?', possibly originally a gittal formation. GRÖNDAHL (PTU, 190) suggest 'he-goat' for the

vocalized names from Ugarit, but this denotation is of different formation in Hebrew (gatīl) and Syriac (gatl). For names with h-cp. F. M. CROSS, in A. KORT and S. MORSCHAUSER [eds], Biblical studies presented to Samuel Iwry, Winona Lake 1985, 41f. who vocalizes /Hawši["]-yahu/ (cf. D. PARDEE, JNES 49, 1990, 89); note Hôtîr (to W/Y-T-R). Mahat occurs only in Ch. as an 'invented' name inartificial genealogies (<Hmt < 'hmt < 'hmwt) whereas Mhtw'x is read now Mhtws (PORTEN, orally; unexpl.). For Mahiah and related names (alternatively to magtil, cf. MURTONEN, 151, 280:953 and M. GÖRG, ZA 76, 1986, 308 ad MB GN Mah-la from Kāmid al-Lōz). A derivation from M-H-L 'annul, remit, forgive, renounce' (MHeb. and JAram.; Syr. 'debilis factus est'), in which case the names would belong to gat1, cannot be excluded. Mahlôn is originally magtil. Magal > magôl is originally magal. N-H-N seems to be almost exclusively Canaanite (Heb., Phoen.; in JAram. and Chr. Syro-Pal. it may originate from Heb.). Nakôn is a passive participle. Togěhat (lectio difficilior) and Timni are tagtal whereas Tigwah and Tirsah may originally be tigtil (cp. OB Am. Ti-iz-ra-ahki, RGTC 3, 238, prob. to D-R-H). For Ta'/hreac cf. MURTONEN, 200:1634. Tî/ûlôn may derive from W/Y-L-Y (MURTONEN, 201, 338:1643), in which case it would be tagtil. For a derivation from a root with t- see W. L. MORAN, OrNs 26, 1957, 344. Yahat seems to be the Chronicler's invention (cf. Mahat). MURTONEN (215:155) regards 'Elôn as gal, but 'al/'il are interchangeable (cf. WSB, 30, 253), Re Nō°āh, H. BAUER (OLZ 33, 1930, 589) interprets N-W/Y- as 'rule'. LXX Fauvi (cf. MURTONEN, 111:403) seems to strengthen the case for Gûnî < G'wnu. A derivation from H-W/Y-T seems to be possible only for Hûšay (LXX Xouget, i. e. with /h/-). Purāh may originally be - in view of LXX Φαρα - pr' (qutl) 'wild ass', cp. with -am/n Bibl. Pir'am and Epigr. Heb. Pr'n (of GN Gt-, A. LEMAIRE, Inscriptions hébraiques 1, Paris 1977, 31:14, 2; identification with modern Far vun [not "Far vun", 58] uncertain). Hubbayya is to be read Hubayya according to A. AL-HILOU (Topographische Namen des syro-palästinischen Raumes..., Diss., Berlin 1986, 132f.) who derives it from either H-W/Y-B or H-B-'. An early occurrence of -a is Bny' (mid. 11th cent., transition from Old Can. script to 'linear Phoen.', see F. M. CROSS, The II. international congress on Biblical archaeology, Jerusalem 1990, 133). $-\bar{a}^h$ certainly goes back to $-\bar{a}^t$ in 'Ayy \bar{a}^h , Pû' \bar{a}^h , Rinn \bar{a}^h , Tigw \bar{a}^h and Yôn \bar{a}^h , but not necessarily in Zimmāh if it is related to Proto-Can. Zm' (B. SASS, The genesis of the alphabet and its development in the second millennium B. C., Wiesbaden 1988,

2. More on Israelite and Judean exiles

To the 32 named Israelites and/or Judeans mentioned in NA sources (cf. OLA 28, 302-304) one can add the witness Mil-la-mi-ni (CTN 3, 41, 16; poss. from Napīsīn). The document was found at Calah (Fort Shalmaneser, S 10) and is dated after 648 B.C. (eponym: Bēl-aha-uṣur). This is a transcription of My'mn which is the same name as MT M(n)ymn and LB Mi-in-ia-(a-)me/mi-(i-) ni/en (JBCA, 22, 58f.). It is not an Egyptian name as understood by S. M. DALLEY and J. N. POSTGATE (CTN 3, 96 ad loc.; 274, n. 48). The reading of the first sign is clear on the copy.

The percentage of the Jews among the general population of Nippur during the 5th century B.C. presumably did not exceed 2.2. The Jews were no more than 7,2% of the bearers of West Semitic names there. The percentage of Jewish functionaries among their colleagues at Nippur was 3.2 - 5.5. There were almost no Jewish high officials there. It is interesting that at least one third (if not 53%) of the interpreter-scribes in 5th-century Nippur were Jews³. The reasons cannot be directly ascertained from the sources. It should be remembered that the forefathers of the Nippur Jews were deported from Judah which - if one is entitled to judge from the rich epigraphic find - was a literate society, to Babylonia where literacy was common only among the Akkadian scribes. Moreover, the Akkadian scribes in Chaldean, Achaemenian and Hellenistic Babylonia have become something like a caste. It is concei-

² To '-R-Y 'gather' or related to 'ry(h) 'lion'?

³ An additional interpreter-scribe and chancellor $(b\bar{e}l-t\bar{e}mi)$ was the Jew Ga-da-la-a-ma (with $-y- > -\phi-$ as in Pa-da-a-ma and Qa-na-'-a-ma, cf. JBCA, 8, as well as Ha-ta-a-ma, cf. above, 1). Ga-da-la-a-ma (Babylon, 486 B.C.) was son of $Ba-an-na-^dEa(BE$, see M. W. STOLPER, JNES 48, 1989, 287).

vable that the Jews possessed skills as (Aramaic) interpreter—scribes since the percentage of literate men (in Aramaic script) among them — as we may assume — was higher than that of the general population of Achaemenian Babylonia. The reforms of Ezra and Nehemiah which were introduced in the same period, might have had serious implications on the advancement of learning among the Jewish masses not only in Judah, but also in Babylonia seeing that Ezra originated from Babylon and Nehemiah from Susa.

3. Temah

This name (LXX 00μοι, 00με(ε)ι), which refers to a clan of the nětînîm (Ez. 2,53 = Neh. 7,55), may originate from Eg. \underline{Tmhw} (later $Tmhw^4$; latest occurrence time of Darius I), i.e. 'Libyan'. Thus, no less than four out of forty-five clans of the nětînîm refer directly to non-Israelite groups; the other three are Mě°ûnîm, Něpîšim and Qērōs. The last one is originally the form on which the gentilic Qrsy 'Carian' is based.

4. b^Cly

A wooden seal found in Upper Egypt (poss. Elephantine) bears the Aramaic inscription $Hw\check{s}^{\sigma}$ member (< 'master', $b^{\sigma}ly$) of a unit (dgl, E. SACHAU, Aramäische Papyrus und Ostraka aus einer jüdischen Militär-Kolonie zu Elephantine, Leipzig 1911, 71:12). According to the form, $b^{\sigma}ly$ is the Canaanite construct plural of $b^{\sigma}l$ 'master'. This plural form was also used in a singular sense as early as the latter half of the second millennium B.C.: a multilingual vocabulary from Ugarit it has $ba-a-lu-ma^6$. Here $b^{\sigma}ly$ is in all

⁴ For the geographical background see J. OSING, LÄ 3, 1024. For $\underline{t} > t$ see A. H. GARDINER, Egyptian Grammar, 3rd ed., London 1957, 27; G. FECHT, Wortakzent und Silbenstruktur, Glückstadt 1960, 141:270.

⁵ Phoen. hkrs(ym) (on k/q see Y. GARFINKEL, JNES 47, 1988, 27ff.; he considers them as originating from Cyprus, cf. 32f.). N/LB Kar-sa-a-a (AGTC 8, 198 with refs.; once Ka-ar-). Did k shift to its emphatic counterpart under the influence of r (cf. t > t [emphatic dental]? Cf. JQR 71, 1981, 110ff.

⁶ Ugaritica 5, 130, iii, 14; see A. F. RAINEY, Leš. 34, 1970, 182; D. SIVAN, AOAT 214, 109, 2.1.3; 208, s. v. Also as a personal name (see W. L. MO-RAN, Les lettres d'El-Amarna, Paris 1987, p. 577 ad 162, 76).

probability referring to a Jew rather than a Phoenician or Transjordanian (Ammonite, Moabite or Edomite): the Jewish community of Elephantine is recorded between 495 and 399 B.C. From a Phoenician one would expect to use the Phoenician script on his own seal. The text is not necessarily Hebrew as all the Jewish documentation from Elephantine is Aramaic. The same applies to the Jewish seals from Achaemenian Palestine. B°ly here may be an Aramaic majestic plural⁷.

5. az-Zīb

This form goes back to $*\tilde{z}z\tilde{l}b < Talm. Gzyb$ (< Bibl. 'kzyb)⁸.

⁷ Cf. P. LEANDER, Laut- und Formenlehre des Ägyptisch-Aramäischen, Göteborg 1928, 92, 45j; B. PORTEN, OrNS 57, 1988, 34 and S. A. KAUFMAN, ibid. 54 in fine.

⁸ For z = z cp. the Lebanese toponym z = z = z = z (S. WILD, Libanesische Ortsnamen (BTS 9), Beirut 1973, 175).