## deutige 728 sein. M. FAIRAMAR TO STELLIH SHT Wort die folgenden Be

Danach kann "38" [1:6\*] Interpretation and Meaning of Micah 1:6\*

Selectingen.

Tan A. Wagenaar London from bout managen very

The similarity between the opening lines of the oracle against Samaria in Micah 1:6 and the oracle against Jerusalem in Micah 3:12 has often been noted. The opening of the oracle against Samaria in Micah 3:12 has often been noted. The opening of the oracle against Samaria in Micah 3:12 has often been noted. The opening of the oracle against Samaria into a ruin of the field, (a place) for planting vineyards', parallels the fate of the city to the fate predicted for Jerusalem of planting vineyards, contain the ploughed into an open field, Jerusalem will become a ruin, a place for the wild animals of the forest'. As Samaria will become a ruin of the field, a place for planting vineyards, so Jerusalem will be ploughed into a field and become a ruin, and the mount of the house will turn into a place for the wild animals of the forest. Although the meaning of the opening lines of the oracle against Samaria is undisputed, the wording has elicited a great deal of scholarly discussion.

Since the days of Julius Wellhausen it has often been pointed out that the expression ע", "a ruin of the field", is unparalleled in Ancient Hebrew and, therefore, cannot be right. According to Wellhausen we have "Wild des Feldes, Kraut, Blumen, Bäume, Steine des Feldes, aber keine Ruinen des Feldes." Although he himself translates מה לע" השרה as "zu einer Wildnis des Feldes (into a wilderness in the open country)", he suggests to read either ערי השרה, "forest land" (cf. Ezekiel 21:3), or - in accordance with the expression ער השרה, "one of the cities in the field", in I Samuel 27:5 - ער השרה, "ער השרה been pointed out that the expression ער השרה be suggests to read either "ער השרה been country", "ער השרה wilder been country", which is adopted by Ehrlich. Marti, followed by J.M.P. Smith, Donner, Jepsen and Fritz, emends

<sup>\*</sup> I would like to thank Prof. Bob Becking, Utrecht, for his valuable comments on an earlier version of this

J. Wellhausen, Die kleinen Propheten übersetzt und erklärt, Berlin 19634, 135; J.L. Mays, Micah (OTL), London 1976 47; H.W. Wolff, Micha, (BKAT XIV/4), Neukirchen-Vluyn 1982, 11.

The expression במיח ישר, 'wooded heights' should either be emended to בחר ישר, 'the (wild) animals of the forest' (A.B. Ehrlich, Randglossen zur hebräischen Bibel V, Leipzig 1912, 280, followed by W. Rudolph, Micha, Nahum, Habakuk, Zephanja [KAT XIII/3], Gütersloh 1975, 68; H.W. Wolff, Micha, 62), or the spelling bamôt should be considered as a contraction of bahmôt < bahimôt (D.H. Hillers, Treaty-Curses and the Old Testament Prophets [Biblica et Orientalia 16], Rome 1964, 54, followed by A.S. van der Woude, Micha [POT], Nijkerk 19853, 122-123) The interpretation 'animals of the forest' fits the context of judgement much better, and has close parallels in Malachi 1:3: 'I made his mountains desolate and gave his inheritance to the jackals of the desert', as well as the curses related to the Near Eastern Treaties and Loyalty Oaths (cf. D.L. Hillers, Treaty-Curses, 44-54).</p>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. the brief survey by W. McKane, "Micah 1,2-7", ZAW 107 (1995), 429.

<sup>4</sup> J. Wellhausen, Kleinen Propheten, 135.

<sup>5</sup> A.B. Ehrlich, Randglossen V, 273.

לעי השרה to ילשרה 'I will make Samaria into a field, a place for vineyard plantings'6. Lescow, on the other hand, while retaining the text would prefer to delete יש: 'I will make Samaria into a ruin, a place for vineyard plantings'7.

Willi-Plein believes the present text to be the result of an elaborate process of textual corruption and reconstruction. The original wording ממרי שמרון לשדה לממעי כרם, 'I will make Samaria into a field, (a place) for vineyard plantings', was reduced to ושמרי שמרון לעי כרם, 'I will make Samaria into a ruined vineyard (Weinbergruine)'. The reconstruction of the verse resulted in the wording שמרון לעי השדה לממעי כרם שמרון לעי השדה לממעי כרם.

Wolff supposes that the words לעי השרה are a secondary addition to the text in order to set up the comparison between Samaria and Jerusalem. The absence of the copula before the final words ממשני כרם attests to the secondary nature of the addition. According to him, they could be a remnant of the original introduction to the oracle against Samaria, now lost9.

In spite of the numerous objections raised against the expression מי ש, it is difficult to reject it from a grammatical point of view. The construct state 'a ruin of the field' does not refer to one of the standard items of the field: 'the animals, herbs, trees and stones of the field', but gives, as has already been pointed out by Driver, a nearer definition of the location of the ruin: 'a ruin in the field' 10. The construction parallels that of אחרה ערי השרה, ' (one of) the cities in the field', in I Samuel 27:5. It is difficult to see why a 'city in the field' is acceptable to Wellhausen but its ruins are not.

The ancient translations, moreover, reflect the expression  $\pi$  of the textus receptus. The LXX reads  $\delta$  πωροφυλάκιον d γροῦ, 'a garden-watcher's hut in the field'. The rendering of the LXX is possibly, but not necessarily, influenced by the text of Isaiah 1:8, where  $\delta$  πωροφυλάκιον translates the Hebrew  $\pi$  'shack', which is preceded there by 'vineyard'. The LXX, however, has  $\delta$  πωροφυλάκιον, 'a garden-watcher's hut' for Hebrew 'γ', 'ruin', also in Micah 3:12 (but apart from the codex Alexandrinus not in the parallel Jeremia 26:18 (= LXX 33:18), where the LXX reads  $\delta$  βατον, 'inaccessible', 'desolate') and Psalm 78:1 (= MT 79:1). The translators probably imagined a temporary shelter built from stones scattered in the field. The Vulgate reads *quasi acervum lapidum in agro*, 'as a heap of stones in the field'. An emendation of the text in Micah 1:6 seems, therefore, unnecessary.

Many scholars, accordingly, find no difficulty with the expression עי השרה and translate the

<sup>6</sup> K. Marti, Das Dodekapropheton (KHCAT XIII), Tübingen 1904, 267; J.M.P. Smith, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Micah, Zephaniah, Nahum, Habbakuk, Obodiah and Joel (ICC), New York 1928<sup>2</sup>, 34; A Jepsen, "Kleine Beiträge zum Zwölfprophetenbuch", ZAW 56 (1938), 97 n 3; H. Donner, Israel unter den Völkern (VTS 11), Leiden 1964, 94; V. Fritz, "Das Wort gegen Samaria Mi 1,2-7", ZAW 86 (1974), 320 n 26.

<sup>7</sup> Th. Lescow, "Redaktionsgeschichtliche Analyse von Micha 1-5", ZAW 84 (1972), 82 n 137.

<sup>8</sup> I. Willi-Plein, Vorformen der Schriftexegese innerhalb des Alten Testaments (BZAW 123), Berlin 1971, 71.

<sup>9</sup> H.W. Wolff, Micha, 11, 16.

<sup>10</sup> G.R. Driver, "Linguistic and Textual Problems: Minor prophets II", JTS 39 (1938), 264. The objections raised by I. Willi-Plein, Vorformen der Schriftexegese, 71, that the explanation of Driver is not satisfactory from a geographical point of view, is inconclusive. There is no problem in envisaging Samaria - after the destruction - as a ruin in the 'highlands' (see below) of Efraim.

opening lines of the oracle against Samaria as follows: 'I will make Samaria into a ruin in the field, a place for planting vineyards' (Allen, Mays, R.L. Smith, Fohrer)<sup>11</sup>.

A number of scholars have based their objections against the expression עי השדה עי on the observation that the opening lines of the oracle are quite unbalanced<sup>12</sup>. The first hemistiche observation that the opening lines of the oracle are quite unbalanced<sup>12</sup>. The first hemistiche in the first hemistiche as long as the second כמסעי כרם, into vineyard plantings'. Moreover, the hemistiches lack the parallelismus membrorum so characteristic of the adjacent lines. Several scholars attempt to restore the parallelism in the opening lines of the oracle by moving השדה to the second hemistiche.

Hillers, while reading לעי שדה, parallels שמדה as a description of the result of the destruction: 'I will make Samaria a ruin//an open field for vineyards plantings' 13. Others, however, consider both השדה and שמדון as the object of the verb 14. Van der Woude suggests that השדה has the meaning 'common lands of a city' and translates: 'I will make Samaria into a ruin//the common lands into vineyard plantings' 15. Rudolph, while reading שְּׁדְּיָם, proposes the meaning 'territory (Flur)', and translates: 'I will make Samaria into a ruin//its territory into vineyard plantings' 16.

Although the objections against the expression לעי המדה as such are not decisive, the restoration of the parallelismus membrorum so characteristic of Hebrew verse, strongly suggests that we should consider an alternative distribution of the words over the first two hemistiches of the oracle against Samaria. The alternative reading of the first two lines of the oracle is even more convincing, when we take into account an alternative translation for מרכם, 'common lands', 'territory' suggested by Van der Woude and Rudolph.

The city of Samaria was built on a mountain - as can be inferred from I Kings 16:24: '[Omri] bought the hill Samaria from Shemer for two talents of silver and he built up the hill and he called the name of the city which he had built after the name of Shemer, the owner of the hill: Samaria'. A number of scholars refer to the location of Samaria on a mountain, when commenting on the initials sentence of the oracle against Samaria: "The slopes of the proud hill on which the city stood will revert to use as vineyards" 17. In view of the imagery of the oracle the question presents itself whether are in Micah 1:6 could mean 'hillside', 'hill', or 'mountain': 'I will make Samaria into a ruin//the hillside into vineyard plantings'.

The etymology of שרה is still unclear, in spite of the vast distribution of the root<sup>18</sup>. Semitic cognates of שרה urange uparitic sd, 'field', 'single field', Phoenician שר, 'field', 'territory', Punic,

<sup>11</sup> L.C. Allen, The Books of Joel, Obadiah, Jonah and Micah (NICOT), 267; J.L. Mays, Micah, 45; R.L Smith, Micah-Malachi (WBC), 15, 18; Fohrer, "Micha 1", in Studien zu alttestamentlichen Texten und Themen (BZAW 155), Berlin - New York 1981, 70.

<sup>12</sup> A.S. van der Woude, Micha, 34; V. Fritz, ZAW 86 (1974), 320 n 26.

<sup>13</sup> D.L. Hillers, Micah (Hermeneia), Philadelphia 1984, 16, 18.

<sup>14</sup> Even H.W. Wolff, *Micha*, 9, who holds עי השרה as a secondary addition, distributes the words in the present text over different hemistiches: 'Und ich mache Samaria [zur Ruine - das Feld] zu Weinberganlagen'.

<sup>15</sup> A.S. van der Woude, Micha, 34; cf. also R. Vuilleumier (& C. Keller), Michée, Nahoum, Habacuc, Sophonie (CAT XIb), Neuchâtel 1971, 15, who translates with 'ses environs'.

<sup>16</sup> W. Rudolph, Micha, 33.

<sup>17</sup> J.L. Mays, Micah, 47; cf. J. Wellhausen, Kleinen Propheten, 135: "Der Hügel worauf Samarien liegt ist ein fetter Hügel, der Reben trägt, nachdem die grosse Stadt verschwunden ist".

<sup>18</sup> Cf. G. Wallis, מַרָּה, TWAT VII, 710.

sd, 'field', 'plain', and Old South Arabic s²dw, 'hillside', 'terraced hillside' 19. Hebrew מדרי are often derived from the same root as Akkadian sadû, 'mountain' 20. Weippert and Propp point out that the spelling of the Old Akkadian sadwum with SA, rather than SA, in the majority of the cases suggests an original root SDW21. Hebrew מברה and Akkadian sadû, therefore, appear to be related. Weippert suggests that the not too stable sounds |s| and |s| in Akkadian have merged in |s|/22. The pronunciation of the sibilants may have differed in the various Semitic and Hebrew dialects23. Words and names in Biblical Hebrew in which |s| corresponds with proto-semitic |s|, reflect an Israelite as against a Judean pronunciation according to Knauf24. Moreover, the pronunciation of |s| by some may have sounded to others as |s|, as may be inferred from the differences in pronunciation of www puns in Old Testament poetry attest to the similarity in pronunciation of the two sounds26. Propp contends that the word מבלח של שברה way in some Hebrew dialects have, actually, been pronounced as מבלח של אונה במבלח של הוא שברה של האונה אונה במבלח של הוא שברה של הוא של הוא של הוא של הוא של הוא שברה של הוא של

The meaning of שרה, 'field', is not in direct opposition to  $\mathcal{S}ad\hat{u}$ , 'mountain'. Hebrew does not refer to the 'plains' as opposed to the 'mountains', but to the uninhabited, uncultivated lands. The Akkadian  $\mathcal{S}ad\hat{u}$  denotes the 'mountain wilderness', as seen from the cultivated land along the rivers Euphrates and Tigris<sup>28</sup>. Occasionally the Akkadian  $\mathcal{S}ad\hat{u}$  denotes the 'open country', 'steppe' in general<sup>29</sup>.

In a number of Old Testament texts the word שרה appears to refer specifically to mountains or highlands<sup>30</sup>. In Numbers 23:14, אל ראש הפסגה, 'he took him to the שרה סל

<sup>19</sup> Cf. HAL s.v. מדרה, J. Hoftijzer and K. Jongeling, Dictionary of the North-West Semitic Inscriptions, Leiden - New York - Köln 1995, 1110 (s.v. \$d\_I); W.H. Propp, "On Hebrew sade(h), 'highland'", VT 37 (1987), 234 n. 1

<sup>20</sup> Cf. Gesenius-Buhl s.v. שֶׁרֶה; HAL s.v. מֶּרֶה; AHW s.v. מֶּרֶה.

<sup>21</sup> M. Weippert, "Erwägungen zur Etymologie des Gottesnamens 'El Saddaj", ZDMG 111 (1961), 49-50; W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 232.

<sup>22</sup> M. Weippert, ZDMG 111 (1961), 51.

<sup>23</sup> Cf. E.A. Knauf, "War 'Biblisch-Hebräisch' eine Sprache? Empirische Gesichtspunkte zur linguistischen Annäherung an die Sprache der althebräischen Literatur", ZAH 3 (1990), 16-17.

<sup>24</sup> E.A. Knauf, ZAH 3 (1990), 18; cf. E.A. Knauf, Shadday, DDD, 1417.

<sup>25</sup> Cf. J.A. Emerton, in Mélanges bibliques et orientaux en l'honneur de M. Mathias Delcor (Ed. A. Caquot, S. Légasse, M. Tardieu; AOAT 215), Neukirchen-Vluyn 1985, 149-157; cf. W.H Propp, VT 37 (1987), 236 n 39.

<sup>26</sup> W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 233, 236 n 40, lists the following examples: Deuteronomy 32:15; 35:5, 26; Isaiah 32:12; 44:2; Joel 1:10; Micah 2:4; Job 27:23; Proverbia 12:25.

<sup>27</sup> W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 233; cf. M. Weippert, ZDMG 111 (1961), 54; J. Hoftijzer and K. Jongeling, Dictionary of North-West Semitic Inscriptions, 1111 (s.v. &dh<sub>I</sub>).

<sup>28</sup> Cf. W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 232; E. A. Knauf, Shadday, DDD, 1416.

<sup>29</sup> CAD s.v. šadû A2; Cf. M. Weippert, ZDMG 111 (1961), 50; W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 232, 235-236 n 33.

<sup>30</sup> W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 230-232; lists the following: Numbers 23:14, Judges 5:18, II Samuel 1:21, Jeremia 13:27; 17:3; 18:14; Psalm 1:11; Job 40:20; M. Weippert, ZDMG 111 (1961), 50 n 47, lists Judges 5:4, 18; Genesis 32:4; Psalm 50:11; Gesenius-Buhl s.v. אין 5 lists the following proposals Genesis 32:4; Judges 5:4, 18; Numbers 23:14; Deuteronomy 32:13; Jes 56:9; Jer 17:3; 18:14; Ezekiel 21:2; Psalm 50:11; 80:14; 96:12.

the watchmen, to the summit of the Pisgah', the parallelism between שדה, (to) the mountaintop of the watchmen', and ראש הפסגה ' (to) the summit of Pisgah', confirms the meaning 'mountain' for שדה '. In Judges 5:18 the expression מרומי שלה, 'the heights of the mountains/highlands', shows that שדה may be a high elevation. In II Samuel 1:21 the word שדה in the expression שדי יחרומת, 'the lofty hills', which parallels הרי בגלבע, the mountains in Gilboa', again refers to high grounds. The much debated חבומת must be interpreted as a plural of abstraction used of a quality, i.e. 'the hills of loftiness'31. In Jeremia 13:27 על גבעות השדה 'on the hills in the highlands', i.e. 'the hills of loftiness'31. In Jeremia 13:27 שדה 'on the hills in the highlands', besides the recognized uses of שדה to denote agricultural fields, land outside a city and even national territories<sup>32</sup>

The meaning 'mountain', 'hillside' would also be appropriate in Micah 1:6: ושבחי שברון לעי (ברם // השבה למשעי ברם // השבה למשעי, 'I will make Samaria into a ruin//the hillside into vineyard plantings'. The interpretation 'hillside', 'mountain', stresses the similarity between the fate of Samaria in Micah 1:6 and Jerusalem in Micah 3:12 even more strongly. The opening lines of the oracle against Samaria and the last lines of the oracle against Jerusalem now prove to be direct parallels, as may become clear from the following synoptic table:

Micah 1:6	Micah 3:12

ושמתי	I will		
שמרון	make Samaria	וירושלם	Jerusalem will become
לעי	into a ruin,	עיין תהיה	a ruin,
השרה	the hill(side)	והר הבית	the mountain of the house
למטעי	(into a place) for	לבמות	(a place) for the animals
כרם	vineyard plantings	יער .	of the forest.

Samaria as well as Jerusalem will become a ruin. After its destruction the hillside of Samaria will only be fit for the planting of vineyards, whereas the temple mount in Jerusalem will be left to the wild animals of the forest.

<sup>31</sup> W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 231; cf. HAL s.v. השֶׁנֶה 6 f).

<sup>32</sup> W.H. Propp, VT 37 (1987), 233; cf. G. Wallis, שָׁרָה, TWAT VII, 715.