מון (אַכֶּא and וְנֹאמֵר in Isa 41:26; אָרָא in Isa 41:28

Deirdre Ann Dempsey - Milwaukee, Wisconsin

The purpose of this article is two-fold: (1) to provide a discussion of the Masoretic Text (MT) pointing of the two indirect volitives in Isa 41:26, וְנָאמָה and בְּרָשָׁה ; (2) to provide an explanation of the MT pointing of אֶּרֶאָה in Isa 41:28, along with a case for re-pointing this form as אֵרֶא. The following presents the two verses in the MT context:

25.	מִמּוְרַח־הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ יִקְרָא בִשְׁמִי	הַעִירוֹתִי מִצָּפוֹן וַיַּאת
	וּכְמוֹ יוֹצֵר יִרְמָס־מִים:	וְיָבֹא סְנָנִים כְּמוֹ־חֹמֶר
26.	ומְלְפָנִים וְנֹאמֵר צַדִּיק	מִי־הָנִיד מֵרֹאשׁ וְנֵרָעָה
	מִיעַ אַרְ אֵין־שֹׁמֵעַ אִמְרֵיכֶם:	אַר אַין־מַנִּיד אַר אֵין מַשְׁ
27.	וְלִירוּשָׁלַם מְבַשֵּׁר אָתַן:	ראשון לְצִיוֹן הַנָּה הַנָּם
28.	ה וָאֵין יוֹעֵץ	ואָרָא וְאֵין אִישׁ וּמֵאֵלֶּ
29.	הַן כָּלָם אָנֶן	וְאֶשְאָלֵם וְיָשִׁיבוּ דָבָר:
	זהו נְסְכֵּיהֶם:	אֶפֶם מַעֲשֵׂיהֶם רוּחַ נָר

TRANSLATION:

- I have roused a man from the north and he has come, from the east he is being summoned by name.
 He will tread down rulers like red earth, like a potter who tramples clay.
- 26. Who made this known ahead of time? (Tell us) that we might know it!

(Who made this known) beforehand? (Tell us) that we might now say: That's right.

Indeed, there was no one who reported it,

indeed, there was no one who announced it; indeed there was no one who heard from you the words:

27. The first news for Zion is: "Look, here they are;

- for Jerusalem I am appointing a messenger of good tidings."
- 28. I have looked around and there is no one, among them there is no one to give counsel, that I might question them and they might answer.
- All of them are nothing^a, empty are their deeds, wind and emptiness are their images.

TEXTUAL NOTES:

- 25. יקרא : read יקרא : read בשמי read אין: read ייקרא , with 1QIsa . ייקרא read ויבס
- 28. ארא: read אראן.
- 29. אָיָן: read וְיָאָנִי: read וְיָאָנִי.
- (1) The form וְבֵּדְעָה in Isa 41:26, the verb preceded by a simple shewa and cohortative by form,

is certainly, like וְלֹאמֵר that follows, an indirect volitive (expressing purpose or result). The

form presents certain problems. The text could possibly be interpreted: Who manifested these things ahead of time so that we knew about it then/in order that we might know about it then?

The indirect volitive generally follows a direct volitive; less commonly it can follow an indicative or a nominal sentence. JOÜON provides examples of both patterns. But the examples he cites, all follow a sentence set in the present or the future. I know only one certain example where the indirect volitive follows a past indicative, Lam 1:19:

בִּי־בִקְשׁוּ אֹכֶל לָמוֹ וְיָשִׁיבוּ אֶת־נַפְשָׁם:

They sought (בְקשׁר) food for themselves,

that they might save (וְיָשִׁיבוּ) their lives.

¹See JOÜON, P. (1923) 116e, 168b, 169b.

As DRIVER has pointed out, the converted imperfect form is totally out of the question.² That form would mean: and they saved their lives. Why the indirect volitive to express purpose or result is so exceedingly rare after a past tense is clear enough. The volitive is by its very nature a future tense. It denotes something that is hoped for -- something that by its very nature is truly potential. Thus it is generally used after another volitive and can occur after an indicative or a nominal sentence in a present or future time frame. But the past is already fixed, no longer genuinely potential and to express purpose or result in a past time frame the switch is made to liqtol or a related construction: Laban had departed (קַבָּאָתִי) to shear (מְבָּאַתִי) his sheep (Gen 31:19); How have I found (מְבָּאַתִי) favor in your eyes, so that you have taken note of me?

While Lam 1:19 does open up the slight possibility that וְנֶרֶעָה is to be interpretated as indicated above (Who manifested these things ahead of time so that we knew about it then/in order that we might know about it then?), I do not think that it is correct or favored by the context. The verb וְנֵרְעָה much more probably means: That we here and now might know (who announced

²DRIVER, S.R. (1892) p. 63.

³See the examples listed in WALTKE, B. - O'CONNOR, M. (1990) 30.5.4.

these things ahead of time). JOÜON offers a set of examples where the indirect volitive follows questions. JOÜON offers a set of examples where the indirect volitive follows questions. It should be noted that for all practical purposes these questions are wishes. For example: Isn't there another prophet of the Lord here, that we might consult (וְנֵבְּרָשָׁה) him? (I Kgs 22:7). The question that precedes the indirect volitive here is in effect an imperative (Tell me whether there is another prophet of the Lord here!) or there is an ellipsis of an imperative "tell me!" before וְנֵבְּרָשָׁה. The same can be said of מִבְּרָשָׁה in Isa 41:26. The question is an implicit: Tell us who manifested! Or an ellipsis of "Tell us!" is to be recognized after the question. On either of these implicit volitives is hung the indirect volitive מִבְּרָשָׁה and וֹנֵבְּעָבּה that follows.

⁴See JOÜON, P. (123) 161m.

a past tense) and consequently interpreted v. 28:

When I look around there isn't anyone;
among them there is no one to give counsel,
that I might question them and they might answer.

The analysis of the tenses the Masora presumes is in every way acceptable. By rejecting the vocalization () it was rejecting the interpretation:

I looked around and there wasn't anyone;

among them there was no one to give counsel,

that I might question them and they might answer,

because the rules governing the sequence of tenses do not allow it.

But the interpretation of the Masora has two serious drawbacks. As noted above, the writing is everywhere else in BH እጋጂን, "I saw." In the present context, እንጂን seems the obvious continuation of the past nominal sentences in v. 26.

My explanation of the tenses of v. 26 is an attempt to take advantage of the genuine grammatical insight of the Masora (indirect volitives do not follow past tenses) and to explain the apocopated writing * 以 interpret * 如 as a present perfect. The nominal sentences that follow are in present time. These nominal sentences are continued by two indirect volitives:

I have looked around. There isn't anyone.

among them there is no one to give counsel,
that I might question them and they might answer.

With v. 28 the text passes from the fact that the gods did not bring about the advent of Cyrus or announce it beforehand to the unavailability (= non-existence) of the gods to do or say anything. That point is made explicit in v. 29:

All of them are nothing,
empty are their deeds,
wind and emptiness are their images.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

DRIVER, S.R. 1892. A Treatise on the Use of the Tenses in Hebrew. 3rd Ed. Oxford. Clarendon. JOÜON, P. 1923. Grammaire de l'hébreu biblique. 2nd Ed. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute. WALTKE, B. - O'CONNOR, M. 1990. An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.