Fear of Fear in Job 4:14

Aron Pinker

The only thing we have to fear is fear itself.

Franklin Delano Roosevelt

The word אס סכנוד occurs many times in the Hebrew Bible having the sense "fear, dread, awe." However, in several places this sense seems inadequate. Two such obvious places are Gen 31:42 and 31:53 where the phrase סכנוד, and the other is Job 40:17 where the phrase גידי פחדו occurs. These cases have been subject to considerable study and led to the suggestion that could also mean "thigh," "deity," or "god Pahad." This paper suggests that Job 4:14 offers support for considering מחד a numen.

Job 4:14. reads פחד הפחיד. The Versions translate

Septuagint: Horror and trembling seized me, and caused all my bones to

greatly shake;

Targum: Fear (or 'object of fear') chanced to come upon me and the

multitude of my limbs frightened;

Peshitta: Fear came upon me, and trembling, which made all my bones to shake; Vulgate: Fear gripped me and trembling and all my bones are frightened.

It is clear from these translations that the versions struggled with the following problems:

- 1. Should we understand מחד ... ורעדה as if they were coupled?
- 2. Should קראני have the sense "happen, occur"?
- 3. Should קראני be understood interpretatively as "seized, gripped"?
- 4. What is the meaning of ורב?
- 5. Are עצמות bones or body parts?

The versions do not seem to have any problems with אָפָּהָד, which is rendered by all as "fear." Only the Targum leaves open the possibility that it was an object. In the following we focus our discussion on the first three questions.

Wanke, Stamm 200; Dahood's translation of TID as "cabal, pack (of dogs)" is strange, to say the least (Dahood, Philology, Glossary No. 2035; Psalms I, 80; Psalms II, 103.327.

² Malul, paḥad yiṣḥāq 195; Moyal, אור 27; Braslavi, פחד יצחק 37-38; Koch, פחד יצחק 37-38; Koch, פחד יצחק 37-38; Albright, Stone Age 324, n. 71; Hillers, Pahad 90-92; Meyer, Israeliten 254f.; Alt, God 10.26; Eissfeldt, El 32, n. 2.

The problems encountered by the versions and the approaches they adopted are reflected in the Standard English translations. For instance,

NLT: Fear gripped me; I trembled and shook with terror;

NKJV: Fear came upon me, and trembling, Which made all my bones shake;
NASB: Dread came upon me, and trembling, And made all my bones shake.
RSV: dread came upon me, and trembling, which made all my bones shake.
NJB: a shiver of horror ran through me, and filled all my bones with fright.
Webster: Fear came upon me, and trembling, which made all my bones to

shake

Young: Fear hath met me, and trembling, And the multitude of my bones

caused to fear.

Darby: Fear came on me, and trembling, and made all my bones to shake;

ASV: Fear came upon me, and trembling, Which made all my bones to

shake;

NJPS: Fear and trembling came upon me, Causing all my bones to quake

with fright.

Unfortunately, none of the aforementioned interpretations adequately reflects the text. This can be seen from the following.

2. Dictionaries include קראני among the cases where אקרה = קרה "happen, occur." This would explain the translation "came upon me" but not "seized me," which is in accord with the Septuagint and Vulgate. The wor אקראני with pointings as in Job 4:14 is a hapax legomenon. The only other occurrences of the form אקראני are in Isa 49:1 and Jer 13:22, with slight differences in the pointing. In Isa 49:1 it is God's alerting call, and in Jer 13:22, where it is usually translated "befallen me," פראני could also mean "called me" as if the causes were anthropomorphized (cf. 2 Kgs 8:1). Thus, a disaster (Gen 42:38), evil (Deut 31:29, Jer 44:23), indefinite causes (Gen 49:1), war (Ex 1:10), all "call out" as if to announce their presence.

3. Nowhere else in the book of Job does קרא "happen, occur," but ארץ meaning "call" occurs frequently (1:4, 5:1, 9:16, 12:14, 13:22, 14:15, 17:14, 19:16, 27:10, 42:14).

שראני with "seized, gripped" = אחז. Indeed, אחז describes עדה / רעדה / רעדה / רעדה אחזני (Ex 15:15 / Isa 33:11, Ps 48:7). Why then did not the author of Job use פחד אחזני ורעדה?³ Perhaps, because the mode of fear manifestation was not what he wanted to articulate.

5. Verse 4:14 is preceded by two verses in which Eliphaz alludes to some unusual communication in visions at night when he was in deep sleep: "A word came to me in stealth; My ear caught a whisper of it. In thought–filled visions of the night, When deep sleep falls on men" (NJPS). The description is clearly intended to convey the reception of a divine communication. Indeed, that is how the Jewish sages of the Talmud understood it.⁴ Verse 4:14 is followed by three verses that contain typical elements of a Divine revelation (wind, storm, silence), deal with an indistinct (or unrecognizable) presence, a strange appearance, and an apparition that talked: "A wind passed before my face; a storm made my skin bristle. It stood still, but I could not tell its appearance; a form stood before my eyes; silence – then I heard a voice: 'Can a human being be righteous before God? Can a mortal be pure before his Maker?'" (Gordis).⁵ The majestic appearance of the speaking form in a whirl wind (in contrast to frightened man) clearly indicates that it was superhuman and the source of the alerting call. Thus the cannot be a sensation, but must be considered an active entity.

These considerations indicate that the generally accepted practice (at least as I could ascertain) of interpreting פחד in Job 4:14 as a sensation and קרני = קראני needs rethinking. Taking as an active divine entity provides a natural, unforced, textually and contextually consistent understanding of the MT. It would be natural in this context to consider as referring to a deity or divine spirit, which alerts Eliphaz by calling out to him. In response to this heavenly alerting call, Eliphaz reacts naturally with a shudder,

Gordis, Book 42.

The root אחד is used eight times in the book of Job, and the suffixed form occurs in 30:16.

⁴ Eliphaz is considered in the Talmud as one of the seven Gentile prophets (TB Baba Bathra 15b). Rashi says, אלי דבר נבואה יגונב מן הסגנון דבר גנוב לפי שאין רוח הוקר ווידר אנוב מן הסגנון דבר גנוב לפי שאין רוח ווידר (to me a thing of prophecy came stealthily [of the type of a stolen thing] because the Holy spirit does not reveal Himself to the prophets of idol worshippers in public). However, in Maimonides view, except of Moses, all the Hebrew prophets had their prophetic revelations in dreams (Maimonides 245). Rashi's commentary indicates (at least to me) that he was aware of the possibility that no enter the understood as the name of a god. To avert such a reading he says חולה (Ps 104) פחד קראני מן הרוח הוא מלאך שנאמר עושה מלאכיו רוחות (Ps 104) שבא אלי. ורוח הוא מלאך שנאמר עושה מלאכיו רוחות (בריאל : I שבו אלי. ורוח השל השל דא מיכאל ופחד הא נבריאל : (Zohar, Vol.III, p. 12b). It is interesting to note that Ibn Ezra skips this verse. I would mention as a curiosity that in Gematria אלוהים = פחד אלוהים and defective scriptum אלוה and defective scriptum אלוהים and defective scriptum אלוהים בחדר בארודים בחדר אונדים בחדר שלווידרים בחדרים בחדר

entirely terrified. Indeed, RTR is typically used for the alerting call of the deity (Gen 3:9, 21:17, 22:11, Ex 3:4, 19:3, Lev 1:1, Num 12:5, 1Sam 3:4, 6:8, 10, Isa 42:6, 49:1).

Could 700 be the name of a god? No deity by the name Pahad is known in the Ancient Near East. This does not mean that a god Pahad did not exist. The Ancient Near East was replete with gods of which we have no knowledge. Of the known deities the closest homophonically to 707 would be the ancient Egyptian god Ptah (metathesised Phat). In ancient Egyptian Ptah is written with two small signs (the "p" and the "t"), both of which can be placed side by side (in vertical writing) or one above the other (in horizontal), and the two signs are nearly always so placed for esthetic reasons. The "h", an aspirated sound, was a tall thin sign and thus was nearly always written beside (in horizontal writing) or beneath (in vertical writing) the two smaller signs, with an image of a god as a determinative next to it. To transpose the "h" and the "t", therefore, would have broken the rather strong esthetic rule and, although a scribal error might have produced this writing, it would not have been a frequent one. However, the vertical writing could be read as p-h-t, and it could even be inserted (perhaps erroneously) in a horizontal line of text. Since pht(y) was the word for 'energy' or 'vigor' (as of a king) it was appropriate to the god.7

While Egyptian orthography does not rule out the possibility of Ptah => Phat => Pahad, making פחד the nomen of a numen, biblical evidence seems to proscribe it. The only other occurrences of האול in which its sense can be construed as related to the divine are Gen 31:42 and 31:53 where the phrase

The discussion in this paragraph relies on personal communication with Prof. Betsy M. Bryan, Alexander Badawy Professor of Egyptian Art and Archaeology, Johns Hopkins University, to whom I am most thankful. All the inferences are mine.

Rashi appears to assume פחד is a stand-in for God. Hizkuni, a 13th century commentator in France (?), says, "דוה כנוי אלהות – פחד וה כנוי אלהות ווה. he swore by the God of his father Isaac (יראתוי), and the Targum proves הבדהיל ליה יצקה "Mandelkern, Veteris 947, notes on דום that דוה is synonymous with האלהי אלה It is similar to the later construct אלה מצרים Meyer, Israeliten 254f., speculated that אלה שמצ שמצ the name of an ancient god in Beer-Sheba that was worshipped by Isaac and protected him. Later, when Jacob went with his clan to Egypt, he brought sacrifices in Beer-Sheba to this god of Isaac (Gen 46:1). However, it is not that is mentioned in Gen. 46:1 but rather the generic אלהי אביו יצחק אלהי אביו יצחק אלהי אביו יצחק (פחד הפחד) remains obscure. It may have here its customary sense of 'fear,' in which case some references to the ordeal of Isaac (xxii) may be implicit; or it might be an altogether different term." Most modern commentators consider מחד יצחק was a god of its own, the personal god of Isaac, and that the identification of אחד יצחק with God came later. Thus, אחד בידוק שוד "god of Isaac."

פחד יצחק occurs.⁸ It seems logical to assign פחד יצחק a meaning that is consistent with these three of its occurrences. The phrase אביר יצחק is of the same stock as אביר יעקב (Gen 49:24, Isa 49:26, 60:16, Ps 132:2, 5) אביר יעקב (Isa 1:24), where the word preceding the name of the forefather is not a nomen. Indeed, having two names for different entities next to each other would be awkward in Biblical Hebrew, unless they are items of a list. Taking to be the numen whose appearance terrified Isaac, as has been suggested by many, provides good sense for סוד in all three of its occurrences (Gen 31:42, 53, and Job 4:14) as a divine entity.⁹

The association of מחד יצחק with Isaac's experience at the Binding, while not compelling, is certainly suggestive enough that it cannot be discounted. At the same time, Koch's observation is not entirely without merit. A god

⁹ Koch, פחד יצחק 113. Koch concludes with a preference for the derivation of יצחק in פחד from pahda "thigh." In his view the thigh is a euphemism for the genitalia, which symbolize the procreative power of the ancestor, continuing to live in his descendants.

The Bible tells about the Patriarchs' custom to go to Egypt each time that a famine struck Canaan. Abraham goes to Egypt (Gen 12:10-20), Isaac almost went to Egypt (Gen 26:2), Jacob sends his sons there, and eventually the Israelites are enslaved there. Hagar was Egyptian (Gen 16:1), her son Ishmael marries an Egyptian (Gen 21:21). Finally, the most distinguishing bodily mark of an Israelite male, circumcision, was probably adopted from the custom of Egyptian priests (Haran, Ages 33).

Why did the author of the book of Job use the numen פמד? It has been noted by many that this author made a considerable effort to give his book an archaic tenor suitable for the Patriarchal period. He could not use פמד since the phrase was closely associated with Isaac's clan and would undermine the universal nature of the dialogue. His solution was to use part of the phrase and let his Israelite audience make the proper association.

The question "Why did Jacob use פחד יצחק rather than אלהי יצחק?" troubled Jewish Medieval commentators. Rashi explains that Jacob did not want to say אלהי יצחק because Isaac was still alive and the name of the deity is not associated with one of the righteous (צדיק) as long as he is alive (Tanhuma on Toldot 7). In Gen 28:13 the term אלהי יצחק is used by God because at that time Isaac lost his sight and was considered as dead. However, Jacob was afraid to make such a judgement and therefore he used אפר יצחק. Though clever, this explanation rests entirely on homiletic reasoning. Ibn Ezra felt that Isaac's experience and devotion during his ordeal are a merit that extends to his son. This explanation cannot obviously apply to both cases in which אינו אויי אויי ביי יצחק occurs.

Haran, Ages 29-30. Haran says: "It is well known that poetry tends to use archaic terms, but each type of poetry uses archaic terms that are fitting for it". The Book of Job stands out in its use of ancient names for the deity. For instance, שרי, occurs 31 times, אל occurs 55 times, אלהים occurs 41 times, (but only 4 times in the Dialogue). The Tetragammaton occurs only once in the Dialogue.

Driver, Problems 73. Driver's emendation of או היב, "quaking" makes good sense (cf. Ps 38:4). Already Ehrlich, on the basis of Job 33:19, emended יותר rendering it "pain." However, the sense "pain" does not fit the context. Eliphaz trembles and shudders before the Divine being awed.

Job 4:14.

פחד קראני ורעדה ורב עצמותי הפחיד

means:

Pahad called me, and a trembling, and quaking shook my bones¹⁴

where Pahad is a numen, of the kind encountered by Isaac in the ancient past. This was understood by the audience to imply that the revelation to Eliphaz took place in the patriarchal times causing him to tremble, and shaking up his skeleton.

Summary

I argue that the standard interpretations of Job 4:14 that assume שבה "fear" and אקרה "happen, occur" do not provide a natural, unforced, textually and contextually consistent understanding of the text. It is suggested that in Job 4:14 פחד is a numen, אקד is "call," and the verse means "God called me, and a trembling, and quaking shook my bones."

Zusammenfassung

Meine Argumentation geht davon aus, dass die Interpretationen von Hiob 4:14, welche annehmen dass פרד "Angst" und קרה "geschehen, passieren" bedeuten, kein natürliches, zwangsloses, textuelles und kontextuales Verständnis des Textes wiedergeben. Es wird vorgeschlagen, dass, ים in Hiob 4:14 ein Numen und פרא einen "Aufruf" bezeichnen. Der Vers bedeutet "Gott rief mich, und Zittern und Schwanken erschütterten meine Knochen". 15

Tur-Sinai, Book 82. Tur-Sinai translates as "terror" but offers no rationale. This meaning too does not fit the context.

¹⁵ I am indebted to Dr. J. Wiener for his help with German translations.

Bibliographie

Albright, W.F., From the stone age to Christianity; monotheism and the historical process, Baltimore 1946.

Alt, A., The God of the Fathers, in: Essays on Old Testament History and Religion (Trans. R. A. Wilson), Garden City 1967.

Braslavi, Y., פחד יצחק and the Blessing of Ephraim and Manasseh: BetM 14 (1962) 35-42.

Dahood, M., Ugaritic – Hebrew Philology. Marginal notes on recent publications (BibOr 17), Rome 1965.

Dahood, M., Psalms I. (AncB 16), Garden City, N.Y. 1966.

Dahood, M., Psalm II. (AncB 17), Garden City, N.Y. 1968.

Driver, G.R., Problems in the Hebrew Text of Job (VT.S 3), Leiden 1960.

Ehrlich, A.B., Randglossen zur hebräischen Bibel, IV, Leipzig 1918.

Eissfeldt, O., El and Yahweh: JSS 1 (1956) 25-37.

Gordis, R., The Book of Job, Commentary, New Translation, and Special Studies, New York 1978.

Haran, M., Ages and Institutions in the Bible, Tel Aviv 1972.

Hillers, D.R., Pahad Yishaq [Gen. 31:53]: JBL 91 (1972) 90-92.

Koch, K., פחה יצחק – eine Gottesbezeichnung?, in: Albertz, R. u.a. (Hg.), Werden und Wirken des Altes Testament, FS C. Westermann, Göttingen 1980, 107-115.

Maimonides, M., The Guide for the Perplexed (Trans. by M. Friedlander), New York 1956.

Malul, M., More on paḥad yiṣḥāq (Genesis XXXI 42,53) and the oath by the thigh: VT 35 (1985) 192-200.

Mandelkern, S., Veteris Testamenti, Concordantiae Hebraicae Atque Chaldaicae, Lipsiae 1896.

Meyer, E., Die Israeliten und ihre Nachbarstämme, Darmstadt 1967 (= 1906).

Moyal, D., אור ממזרח, פרוש על ספר בראשית, Tel Aviv 1941.

Speiser, E.A., Genesis (AncB 1), New York 1962.

Tur-Sinai, N.H., The Book of Job, A new Commentary, Jerusalem 1967.

Wanke, G., Der Stamm מחד im Alten Testament, in: ThWNT 9 (1973) 200.

Dr. Aron Pinker 11519 Monticello Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20902 U.S.A. aron pinker@hotmail.com